

The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke

The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke

Downloaded from template-dev.mobaptist.org by Mathias & Cunningham

INTRODUCTION: THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF JOHN LOCKE'S POLITICAL MASTERPIECE

Few works in the history of political philosophy have shaped the modern world as profoundly as **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke** originally published in 1689. Written against the backdrop of political turmoil in England, this text laid the intellectual groundwork for constitutional democracy, individual rights, and the legitimate limits of political authority. When we speak of natural rights, the consent of the governed, or the right to revolution, we are directly echoing the arguments Locke so carefully articulated centuries ago.

Locke's Second Treatise is not merely a historical artifact; it remains a living document that continues to inform debates about liberty, property, and the proper role of the state. Understanding this work is essential for anyone who wishes to grasp the philosophical foundations of modern Western governance. This article offers a comprehensive, accessible exploration of the key ideas within **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke**, its historical context, and its lasting influence on political thought from the American founding to contemporary discussions of justice and authority.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: WHY LOCKE WROTE THE SECOND TREATISE

To appreciate the depth of **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke**, one must first understand the environment in which it was conceived. England in the late seventeenth century was a nation deeply divided over questions of monarchy, religion, and parliamentary power. The Glorious Revolution of 1688 had just deposed King James II and installed William and Mary, establishing a constitutional settlement that limited royal authority.

Locke, who had spent time in exile in the Netherlands due to his political associations, wrote the Two Treatises of Government to defend this revolution and to refute the absolutist arguments put forward by thinkers like Sir Robert Filmer. Filmer had argued, in his work Patriarcha, that political authority derived from a paternal lineage tracing back to Adam, and that kings held absolute power by divine right. Locke systematically dismantled this claim in the First Treatise and then, in the Second Treatise, built a positive theory of legitimate government based on reason, consent, and natural law.

The Second Treatise was published anonymously in 1689, but its authorship soon became known. It quickly became a foundational text for Whig political thought and later for Enlightenment thinkers across Europe and the American colonies. In essence, **The Second Treatise Of Government John**

Locke provided a rational, secular justification for limited government that resonated with those seeking to check the arbitrary power of monarchs.

THE STATE OF NATURE: A CONDITION OF FREEDOM, NOT CHAOS

Locke begins his argument in the Second Treatise by imagining a pre-political condition he calls the **state of nature**. Unlike Thomas Hobbes, who depicted the state of nature as a brutish war of all against all, Locke envisions it as a state of perfect freedom and equality. In this state, individuals are free to order their actions and dispose of their possessions as they see fit, within the bounds of the law of nature.

Crucially, Locke insists that the state of nature is not a state of license. Even without a civil government, individuals are bound by a moral law—the law of nature—which teaches that no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty, or possessions. This is because all humans are the workmanship of God, and are therefore equal in dignity and rights. The law of nature, accessible through reason, commands peace and preservation of mankind.

However, the state of nature has three major inconveniences: there is no established, settled, known law; no known and impartial judge to settle disputes; and no executive power to enforce just decisions. These inconveniences drive individuals to seek a more stable and secure form of political association. This sets the stage for Locke's theory of the **social contract** and the legitimate origins of government.

NATURAL RIGHTS: LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY

Perhaps the most famous contribution of **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke** is its robust defense of natural rights. Locke argues that every individual possesses inherent rights that do not come from government and cannot be legitimately taken away by it. These rights include life, liberty, and, most distinctively, **property**.

Locke's theory of property is particularly innovative. He asks: How can something become privately owned when the earth was originally given to humankind in common? His answer lies in labor. When an individual mixes his labor with something in the common state—for example, by picking an apple or tilling a piece of land—he removes it from the common and makes it his own. Labor creates ownership.

Importantly, Locke places limits on this right to acquisition. One may only appropriate as much as one can use before it spoils, and one must leave enough and as good for others. These provisos reflect Locke's moral concern for fairness and the common good. However, with the introduction of money, which does not spoil, these limits are transcended, leading to greater economic inequality.

For Locke, the primary purpose of government is the preservation of property in this broad sense—meaning not just material goods but also life and liberty. When a government violates these rights, it breaches the trust placed in it by the people. This concept became a cornerstone of liberal democracy and was famously echoed by Thomas Jefferson in the American Declaration of Independence, who substituted “the pursuit of happiness” for “property” but retained the same Lockean logic.

THE SOCIAL CONTRACT AND CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED

Locke’s theory of political authority rests on the idea of **consent**. Unlike Filmer’s divine right or Hobbes’s absolute sovereignty, Locke argues that legitimate government can only arise from the voluntary agreement of free and equal individuals. This agreement is the **social contract**.

It is important to distinguish between two forms of consent in Locke’s thought. **Express consent** is given explicitly, as when someone swears an oath of allegiance or becomes a citizen. **Tacit consent**, by contrast, is implied by simply enjoying the benefits of a government—traveling on its roads, using its services, or inheriting property under its laws. For Locke, anyone who enjoys the protection of a government has tacitly consented to obey its laws, at least so long as they remain within its territory.

This idea of consent has profound implications. It means that political authority is not natural or absolute but is conditional and revocable. The people retain the ultimate authority to judge whether their rulers are acting within the bounds of the trust placed in them. When a government becomes tyrannical and violates the rights of the people, the people have the right to resist and even to overthrow it.

This radical idea—the **right to revolution**—is one of the most powerful and controversial elements of **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke**. Locke is careful to caution against hasty rebellion, but he leaves no doubt that when a ruler acts lawlessly and systematically oppresses his subjects, the people may appeal to heaven and take up arms in defense of their liberties.

LEGISLATIVE SUPREMACY AND THE SEPARATION OF POWERS

Locke was an early advocate of what we would now call the **separation of powers**. In the Second Treatise, he distinguishes between three branches of government: the **legislative**, the **executive**, and the **federative** (which deals with foreign relations and war). For Locke, the legislative is the supreme power within a commonwealth, because it makes the laws that govern society. However, even the legislature is not absolute.

Locke insists that the legislative power is bound by several limits:

- It must govern by established, promulgated laws, not by arbitrary decrees.
- These laws must be designed for the public good, not for the private benefit of rulers.
- It cannot raise taxes without the consent of the people, given either by themselves or by their representatives.
- It cannot transfer its lawmaking power to any other body.

These limitations reflect Locke’s deep distrust of concentrated power. He understood that even a representative legislature could become tyrannical if unchecked. This is why he also emphasizes the importance of the executive power being separate from the legislative, and why he holds that the people remain the ultimate check on all branches of government. When the government dissolves or acts against its trust, the power devolves back to the community, which can then establish a new government.

INFLUENCE ON THE AMERICAN FOUNDING

It is impossible to overstate the influence of **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke** on the American Revolution and the founding of the United States. The signers of the Declaration of Independence were deeply steeped in Lockean thought. Jefferson’s famous assertion that governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed is almost a direct paraphrase of Locke. The entire structure of the Declaration—the appeal to natural rights, the list of grievances against a tyrannical ruler, and the justification for revolution—mirrors the argument of the Second Treatise.

American colonial leaders frequently cited Locke as an authority in their disputes with the British Crown. James Otis, John Adams, and Samuel Adams all drew on Lockean principles to argue for the rights of the colonists. The U.S. Constitution, with its separation of powers, its system of checks and balances, and its emphasis on limited government, is in many ways a practical implementation of Locke’s theoretical framework.

The Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which declares that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, is a direct echo of Locke’s natural rights doctrine. Similarly, the idea of judicial review—the power of courts to strike down unconstitutional laws—can be seen as a natural extension of Locke’s insistence that no branch of government is above the law, and that the people’s fundamental rights must be protected.

The American experiment demonstrated to the world that Locke’s ideas were not merely abstract philosophy but could serve as the foundation for a stable, free, and prosperous republic.

CRITICISMS AND CHALLENGES TO LOCKEAN THOUGHT

While **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke** has been enormously influential, it has also faced substantial criticism. Some critics argue that Locke’s theory of property, with its emphasis on labor and acquisition, provides a moral justification for colonialism and the dispossession of indigenous peoples. Locke himself was involved in the administration of the American colonies, and his arguments about land being wasted if not cultivated were used to justify European settlement on lands inhabited by Native Americans.

Other critics contend that Locke’s vision of consent is too idealized and fails to account for the deep inequalities of power that exist in any society. For example, the concept of tacit consent has been criticized as a way of binding people to a government they never genuinely agreed to. If an individual has no realistic alternative but to live under a particular government, is their continued

residence truly a sign of consent?

Feminist scholars have also pointed out that Locke’s discussion of political freedom is limited by his assumptions about the natural subordination of women within the household. While Locke famously argued for equality among men, he did not extend this equality to women in the same way, and his work has been read as reinforcing patriarchal structures of power.

These criticisms do not necessarily invalidate Locke’s core insights, but they remind us that no philosophical work is beyond interrogation. Engaging with these challenges allows us to use Locke’s framework in a more critical and inclusive way, recognizing both its strengths and its limitations.

MODERN RELEVANCE: LOCKE IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY

Despite being written more than three centuries ago, **The Second Treatise Of Government John Locke** remains remarkably relevant to contemporary political discourse. Debates about the proper scope of government, the protection of individual rights, and the importance of consent in political life are as urgent today as they were in Locke’s time.

Consider the ongoing controversies about surveillance, privacy, and government overreach. Locke’s insistence on the inviolability of life, liberty, and property provides a powerful framework for questioning the expansion of state power in the name of security. The idea that the people retain the ultimate authority to judge their rulers is a direct challenge to any government that seeks to operate beyond the bounds of law and public accountability.