
The Great Salad Oil Swindle

*The Great
Salad Oil
Swindle*

*Downloaded from
template-dev.mobaptist.org
by Simone & Jacoby*

THE GREAT SALAD OIL SWINDLE: A TALE OF GREED, FRAUD, AND FINANCIAL RUIN

In the annals of financial crime, few schemes are as audacious, creative, and ultimately destructive as **The Great Salad Oil Swindle**. This infamous scandal, which unfolded in the early 1960s, involved a seemingly mundane commodity—vegetable oil—and a web of deception that ensnared some of the

most prestigious financial institutions in the United States. The swindle not only exposed glaring weaknesses in commodity trading and banking practices but also offered timeless lessons about the dangers of unchecked greed and the illusion of easy wealth. To understand why this contrived fraud shook Wall Street to its core, we must step back and explore the characters, the mechanics, and the devastating aftermath of what remains one of the most legendary cases of white-collar crime in American

history.

What Was the Great Salad Oil Swindle?

At its simplest, **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** was a massive financial fraud centered on the fictitious storage of soybean oil, cottonseed oil, and other vegetable oils. The mastermind, Anthony “Tino” De Angelis, built a colossal empire of fake inventory at his company, the Allied Crude Vegetable Oil Refining Corporation, located in Bayonne, New Jersey. By

convincing banks and commodity exchanges that he held millions of pounds of oil in storage tanks, De Angelis obtained enormous loans—using the nonexistent oil as collateral. When the bubble burst in November 1963, the losses totaled over \$200 million (equivalent to more than \$1.8 billion today), causing a chain reaction that brought down a major brokerage firm, threatened the stability of American Express, and led to sweeping reforms in commodity auditing and warehouse inspections.

The

Mastermi nd: Anthony “Tino” De Angelis

To fully grasp **The Great Salad Oil Swindle**, one must first understand the man behind it. **Tino De Angelis** was a charismatic and ambitious commodities trader with a checkered past. Born in the Bronx, he had already faced legal troubles for financial improprieties before he arrived in the vegetable oil business. Despite a modest frame and a lack of formal education, De Angelis possessed an

uncanny ability to charm bankers, inspectors, and executives. He saw that the booming market for vegetable oils—driven by demand for margarine, cooking oils, and salad dressings—offered an opportunity for enormous profit, provided he could secure enough credit. But De Angelis was not content with legitimate trading. He decided to take a shortcut: fabricate inventory.

How the Fraud Worked: A House

of Cards Made of Fat

The mechanics of **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** were breathtakingly simple, yet devastatingly effective. Here is a step-by-step breakdown of the scheme:

- **Phantom oil in storage tanks:**

Allied Crude Vegetable Oil leased a sprawling tank farm in Bayonne, New Jersey. De Angelis realized that the large storage tanks—each holding millions of pounds of oil—were nearly

impossible to inspect fully. He instructed his employees to fill some tanks only partially, or even with water, while falsifying records to show that the tanks were full of valuable soybean oil. Some tanks were rigged with hidden compartments that held a small amount of oil on top, so that when a reluctant inspector dipped a measuring rod, it would come up coated with oil.

- **Double and triple counting:**

A key element of the scheme was “homogenizing” the oil. De Angelis would pump the same physical oil from

one tank to another and back again, all while issuing multiple warehouse receipts for the same oil. These receipts were then used as collateral for loans from different banks and lending institutions. At times, the same gallon of oil was pledged three or four times over.

- **Forged and backdated documents:** Allied Crude employees created fake warehouse receipts, sometimes using the letterhead of legitimate storage companies. De Angelis bribed a

few inspectors from the U.S. Department of Agriculture and local weighmasters to sign off on inflated inventories. The paperwork seemed impeccable, and banks rarely sent anyone to actually look at the tanks.

- **Exploiting trust in American Express:**

Perhaps the most shocking aspect of **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** was the involvement of American Express. The company's warehousing subsidiary, American Express Field

Warehousing, issued receipts for the oil stored at Allied Crude's facility. In exchange for a fee, American Express vouched for the existence of the oil. This gave the fraud a powerful seal of legitimacy that enticed other lenders to extend credit.

The Collapse: When the Oil Bubble

Popped

Like all Ponzi-like schemes, **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** relied on ever-growing volumes of fake inventory to sustain payments on earlier loans. In the autumn of 1963, a combination of factors brought the house down. First, international vegetable oil prices began to drop. Second, a large shipment of actual soybean oil that Allied Crude had sold short failed to arrive on schedule. Third, the ever-skeptical auditors from the New York Produce Exchange insisted on a thorough inspection of the Bayonne tanks. On November 19, 1963, inspectors discovered that several tanks that supposedly held

millions of pounds of soybean oil actually contained only water or, in some cases, were nearly empty. Word of the missing oil spread like wildfire.

By the time the dust settled, the losses were staggering. American Express itself was on the hook for over \$60 million (roughly \$500 million today). The company's stock price plummeted by more than 50%, and it narrowly avoided bankruptcy by eventually negotiating a settlement with its insurers and selling off assets. One of the largest commodities brokerage firms, Ira Haupt & Company, collapsed because it had lent heavily to De Angelis and held worthless warehouse receipts. Dozens of smaller lenders and oil

traders also faced ruin. Tino De Angelis was arrested, convicted of conspiracy and fraud, and sentenced to 20 years in prison, although he served only seven.

The Aftermath and Impact on Financial Systems

The consequences of **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** extended far beyond the courtroom. The scandal prompted a major overhaul of how commodity inventories were verified and how

warehouse receipts were regulated. Among the notable changes:

1. **Tighter inspection standards:**

Regulatory agencies like the USDA and state authorities implemented stricter rules for weighing, measuring, and certifying bulk commodities. Third-party inspectors now had to provide more robust documentation and surprise audits became more common.

2. **Changes in lending practices:**

Banks became far more cautious when accepting warehouse receipts as

collateral. They demanded independent verification, insurance, and a clearer chain of custody before extending commodity-backed loans.

3. **American Express reform:**

The scandal forced American Express to re-evaluate its field warehousing operations. The company sold its warehousing subsidiary and tightened internal controls to prevent a repeat of such catastrophic oversight.

4. **Legal precedents:**

The case established

important legal principles about the liability of warehousing companies and financial institutions that certify collateral. It also highlighted the need for transparency in the commodities market.

Semantic Lessons in Financial Trust and Regulation

n

From an SEO perspective, **The Great Salad Oil Swindle** serves as a rich case study for keywords related to financial fraud, commodity scams, historical business failures, and regulatory evolution. More importantly, the story resonates because it is fundamentally about human nature: the temptation to bend rules, the blindness of greed, and the fragility of trust. In many ways, the swindle was a precursor to later scandals such as Enron and the 2008 mortgage crisis, both of which involved the manipulation of opaque financial instruments and the complicity of

gatekeepers who failed to do their due diligence.

Lessons Learned from the Great Salad Oil Swindle

What can modern investors, business leaders, and regulators take away from this cautionary tale? Here are several key takeaways:

- **Trust but verify:** The banks and institutions that lent billions to De Angelis allowed the allure of

profits to override basic verification. In any financial transaction, independent, hands-on inspection is critical.

- **Complexity can mask fraud:**

The vegetable oil industry, with its bulk storage and complicated logistics, was opaque enough for De Angelis to hide his deception.

Today, complex financial instruments and off-balance-sheet vehicles can serve the same purpose. Regulators must demand transparency.

- **Conflicts of interest are**

dangerous:

American Express earned fees for issuing warehouse receipts but had little incentive to verify the actual oil. When a company profits from certifying its own customer's assets, the potential for abuse is high.

- **Whistleblowers matter:** The fraud might have gone on longer if not for the persistent questions raised by a few inspectors and traders who sensed something was wrong. Encouraging a culture where concerns can be

raised without fear is essential.

- **Hubris leads to downfall:** De Angelis believed he was too clever to get caught. In the end, his overconfidence and appetite for more credit led to his unraveling. History repeatedly shows that white-collar criminals often push too far.

Conclusion

The Great Salad Oil Swindle remains a stirring example of how a simple idea—faking oil inventories—can snowball into one of the largest financial catastrophes of the twentieth century. The

story is a potent reminder that financial innovation without ethical guardrails can lead to ruin. Today, as new asset classes like crypto and derivatives emerge, the lessons of Bayonne are more relevant than ever: credibility and transparency are the

bedrock of any functioning market. The swindle may have been built on vegetable oil, but its stain on financial history is anything but greasy. It is a stark, enduring warning that when greed drowns out reason, the next tank might just be empty too.